



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,360	10/12/2000	Kazuo Aisaka	XA-9375	5849

181 7590 06/03/2004

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE
SUITE 500
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833

EXAMINER

LI, ZHUO H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2186

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/688,360

Applicant(s)

AISAKA ET AL.

Examiner

Zhuo H. Li

Art Unit

2186

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Preliminary Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed (3/17/2004) paper no. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, recites the limitation "the attributes" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, recites the limitation "the attributes" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 5, the term "any" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are one, some, or all indiscriminately of whatever quantity.

Regarding claim 6, recites the limitation "the contents" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 7, recites the limitation "the control" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 10, recites the limitation "the attributes" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 11, the term "any" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are one, some, or all indiscriminately of whatever quantity.

Regarding claim 13, recites the limitation "the contents" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 14, the term "any" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are one, some, or all indiscriminately of whatever quantity.

Regarding claims 2-3, 8-9, 12 and 15 are also rejected because of depending on claims 1, 4, 10 and 13, respectively, containing the same deficiency.

The following art rejections are applied from what is best understood of the claim(s) in view of the 112 Second paragraph problems listed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2186

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahlen et al. (US PAT. 5,581,737 hereinafter Dahlen).

Regarding claim 1, Dahlen discloses method of allocating resources available on a computer system, i.e., multiple processing system (figure 2) to run a plurality of program units, i.e., a plurality of data processing systems (10A-10N, figure 2 and col. 5 line 66 through col. 6 line 33) concurrently, comprising a step of receiving a request, i.e., command for running a program unit, a step of obtaining parameters that represent the attributes of the program unit (col. 6 lines 35-60, col. 13 line 6 through col. 14 line 15, col. 21 lines 21-37 and col. 42 line 57 through col. 19), a step of allocating resources required to run the program unit, based on a resource allocation table, i.e., directory (60, figure 3) and a cache management table, i.e., directory-entry lookup table, as well as the parameters, a step of registering results of the allocation resources into the resource allocation table, and a step of registering an allocation storage domain of a cache memory to be used for the program unit into the cache management table (col. 7 line 22 through col. 8 line 23, col. 13 line 48 through col. 14 line 7, col. 17 line 38 through col. 18 line 22, col. 21 lines 19-37 and col. 32 lines 10-54).

Regarding claim 2, Dahlen discloses the method of allocating resources wherein the parameters give positional information for a principal part to be executed at a high frequency in the program unit (col. 8 lines 24-32 and col. 14 lines 8-16), the resource allocation table has address reference information on a main storage to be used for program units as well as the program unit (col. 7 line 23 through col. 8 line 23), the cache management table lists addresses of the cache memory and program units mapped in cache address domains, i.e., data elements,

Art Unit: 2186

specifying an address for the program, and the step of allocating resources determines an address of area to be used for the program unit in the main storage, ensuring that the principal part of the program unit is assigned an entry address of free cache area, based on the positional information for the principal part, the address reference information, and the cache management table (col. 18 lines 23-57, col. 21 col. 38 through col. 29 line 4 and col. 29 line 54 through col. 32 line 9).

Regarding claim 3, Dahlen discloses the method of allocating resources wherein the resource allocation table has address reference information on a main storage to be used for program units as well as the program unit (col. 8 lines 24-32 and col. 14 lines 8-16), the cache management table lists pages of the cache memory and program units mapped in cache pages, specifying a page or pages for the program unit (col. 32 line 10 through col. 33 line 33), and the step of allocating resources determines an address of area to be used for the program unit in the main storage, based on the address reference information and the cache management table as well as the parameters (col. 17 line 63 through col. 18 line 37, col. 29 line 54 through col. 32 line 9).

Regarding claim 4, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, Dahlen discloses the operating system wherein the cache memory (26, figure 3) has entry address domains (56, figure 3), each of which is specified by an entry address (col. 7 line 23 through col. 8 line 23), the parameters give positional information for a principal part to be executed at a high frequency in the program unit (col. 8 lines 24-32 and col. 14 lines 8-16), the resource allocation table has address reference information on a main storage to be used for program units (col. 7 line 23 through col. 8 line 23), the cache management table

Art Unit: 2186

lists addresses of the cache memory and program units mapped in cache address domains i.e., data elements (col. 29 line 54 through col. 32 line 9), and the allocating resources determines an address of area to be used for the program unit in the main storage ensuring that an entry address to be assigned for the principal part of be executed at a high frequency in the program unit differs from an entry address assigned for any part to be executed at a high frequency in any program unit other than the program unit, based on the positional information for the principal part, the address reference information, and the contents of the cache management table, i.e., a list of commands including an allocation command with checkpoint requested, an apportionment-priority indicator which contains higher priority than maximizing the amount of storage resources that are assigned to the structure (col. 13 line 6 through col. 14 line 15, col. 17 line 38 through col. 18 line 41 and col. 21 col. 38 through col. 29 line 53).

Regarding claim 6, Dahlen discloses the operating system wherein the cache memory has a plurality of pages, i.e., data area (1-m), the resource allocation table has address reference information on a main storage to be used for program units, the cache management table lists pages of the cache memory and program units mapped in cache pages (col. 32 line 10 through col. 33 line 33), and the allocating resources determines an address of area to be used for the program unit in the main storage based on the positional information for the principal part, the address reference information, and the contents of the cache management table (col. 17 line 63 through col. 18 line 37, col. 29 line 54 through col. 32 line 9).

Regarding claim 7, Dahlen discloses the operating system wherein rewriting of a cache page register is performed according to the contents of the cache management table when the

Art Unit: 2186

control of execution transfers from the program unit to another program unit (col. 9 line 3 through col. 10 line 28).

Regarding claims 8-9, Dahlen discloses the operating system wherein the allocating resources includes determining the number of pages of the cache memory to be assigned for the program unit, based on execution priority information that is given by some of the parameters and the allocating resources includes determining the number of program nits to be mapped for a specific page of the cache memory, based on execution priority information that is given by some of the parameters (col. 13 line 6 through col. 14 line 15, col. 17 line 38 through col. 18 line 41 and col. 21 col. 38 through col. 29 line 53).

Regarding claim 10, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 13, Dahlen discloses a computer system (figure 2) including a CPU (10A, figure 2), a cache (26, figure 3), and storage, i.e., data table (55, figure 3), and running a plurality of program units concurrently, the computer system having a resource allocation table, i.e., directory (60, figure 2), into which results of allocating resources are registered and a cache management table, i.e., directory-entry lookup table, into which allocated storage domains of the cache memory are registered, the storage including an area for storing an operating system that controls the computer system, i.e., (SES facility 16, figure 3), and when running one of the

Art Unit: 2186

plurality of program units, the operating system obtaining parameters for the program unit and allocating resources for the program unit, based on the contents of the resource allocation table and the cache management table as well as the parameters (col. 18 lines 23-57, col. 21 col. 38 through col. 29 line 4 and col. 29 line 54 through col. 32 line 9).

Regarding claim 14, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 15, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 16, Dahlen discloses an operating system for controlling a computer system to run a plurality of program units (CPCs 10A-10N, figure 2) concurrently, when the computer system runs one of the plurality of program units, the operating system allocating resources for the program unit, ensuring that an entry address of a cache memory to be used for the principal part of the program unit differs from an entry address of the cache memory used for the principal part of one of the plurality of program units other than the program unit (col. 13 line 6 through col. 14 line 15, col. 17 line 38 through col. 18 line 41 and col. 21 col. 38 through col. 29 line 53).

Regarding claims 17-18, Dahlen discloses the operating system wherein the allocating resources is executed by means of resource allocation included in the operation system (col. 9 line 3 through col. 10 line 28, col. 13 line 6 through col. 14 line 16 and col. 17 line 38 through col. 18 line 22), and the operating system has been stored into storage of the computer system (col. 7 line 22 through col. 8 line 32).

Art Unit: 2186

Regarding claim 19, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 16.

Regarding claims 20-21, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 17-18.

Regarding claims 22-23, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 8-9.

Response to Arguments

6. In response to applicant's request for supplemental Office action and restart of response period because of failing to take into consideration applicant's preliminary amendment filed 10/12/2000 in the previous Office action, the request has been fully considered and is persuasive. Thus, the present Office is issued to include the consideration of applicant's preliminary amendment filed 10/12/2000.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238



Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2186

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zhuo H. Li whose telephone number is 703-305-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Zhuo H. Li, 


Art Unit 2186


MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100